



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,819	09/28/2001	Patrick Duncan Jenny	08204/000S097-USO/10.032	3058
38878	7590	09/15/2008		
FS Networks, Inc. c/o DARBY & DARBY P.C. P.O. BOX 770 Church Street Station NEW YORK, NY 10008-0770				
EXAMINER				
MEUCCI, MICHAEL D				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2142				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/15/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/966,819

Applicant(s)

JENNY ET AL.

Examiner

MICHAEL D. MEUCCI

Art Unit

2142

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 April 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25, 27 and 28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25, 27 and 28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 September 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/C)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed 11 April 2008
2. Claims 1-25, 27, and 28 are pending.

Response to Amendment

3. The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101 to claim 25 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu et al. (U.S. 6,370,620 B1) hereinafter referred to as Wu, in view of Scharber (U.S. 6,542,964 B1) and Lamburt et al. (U.S. 6,374,241 B1) hereinafter referred to as Lamburt.

- a. As per claim 8, Wu teaches: determining a frequency of requests for a plurality of different static content (lines 52-54 of column 6 and lines 47-58 of column 1 – which clearly show multiple objects available for requests, i.e. *different static content*); when the frequency of requests for static content exceeds a threshold, forwarding the request to the cache (lines 43-59 of column 6); wherein the content is obtained when

unavailable in the cache by generating another request for the content and forwards the request to another cache determined by hashing an identifier associated with the static content if the frequency of requests for static content is below the threshold (line 48 of column 4 through line 8 of column 5 and lines 21-28 of column 6).

Wu does not explicitly teach: determining at least one type of the requested content based on a determination of information included within the request; and a first cache that employs a hot list for access to static content that is separately cached.

Scharber discloses: "By being able to recognize the content type associated with these different requests (e.g., based on the transport protocol or otherwise), ICDS 50 is able to determine which caching protocol is appropriate," (lines 40-43 of column 7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to determine at least one type of the requested content based on a determination of information included within the request. "That is, ICDS 50 is able to make a content deterministic evaluation of the appropriate cache protocol to be used," (lines 43-45 of column 7 in Scharber). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to determine at least one type of the requested content based on a determination of information included within the request in the system as taught by Wu.

Lamburt discloses: "The Data Query Cache 850, in this embodiment, generally includes a "hot" and "cold" cache," (lines 36-37 of column 27) and the hot and cold caches inherently contain a list of objects in them. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in that art at the time of the applicant's invention to have a first cache that

employs a hot list for access to static content that is separately cached. "In this embodiment, the caching technique implemented is the LRU (Least Recently Used) policy by which elements of the cache are selected for replacement in accordance with time from last use. These and other policies are generally known to those skilled in the art. Generally, the "hot" cache may include the most recently used items and the cold cache the remaining items," (lines 37-43 of column 27 in Lamburt). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to have a first cache that employs a hot list for access to static content that is separately cached in the system as taught by Wu.

b. As per claim 9, Wu teaches: hashing the identifier associated with the content to obtain a value and forwarding the request to a cache associated with the value when the frequency of requests for static content is below the threshold (line 48 of column 4 through line 8 of column 5 and line 43 of column 6 through line 3 of column 7).

c. As per claim 10, Wu teaches: another request is forwarded to the content server when the content is unavailable from the other cache (lines 4-28 of column 6).

6. Claims 12, 14-17, 19, 21, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu in view of Scharber, Lamburt, Banerjia et al. (U.S. 2001/0049818 A1) hereinafter referred to as Banerjia, and Palanca et al. (U.S. 6,216,215 B1) hereinafter referred to as Palanca.

a. As per claims 12 and 25, Wu teaches: a forwarder that receives each request for content and forwards each request to at least one of a content server and a

cache (line 48 of column 4 through line 8 of column 5); the content server is coupled to the forwarder wherein the content server sends content to the client in response to each request that is forwarded to the content server and the cache is coupled to the forwarder, wherein the cache sends content to the client in response to each request that is forwarded to the cache (line 48 of column 4 through line 8 of column 5 and lines 21-28 of column 6).

Wu does not explicitly teach: determining at least one type of the requested content based on a determination of the request; a plurality of caches including at least one hot cache; wherein the hot cache is based at least in part on the request for static content with a higher frequency greater than a lower frequency associated with a lower level cache; and a determination of a request for content coming from a cache in the plurality of caches to which the forwarder previously forwarded a request for the content.

Scharber discloses: "By being able to recognize the content type associated with these different requests (e.g., based on the transport protocol or otherwise), ICDS 50 is able to determine which caching protocol is appropriate," (lines 40-43 of column 7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to determine at least one type of the requested content based on a determination of the request. "That is, ICDS 50 is able to make a content deterministic evaluation of the appropriate cache protocol to be used," (lines 43-45 of column 7 in Scharber). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to determine at least one type of the

requested content based on a determination of the request in the system as taught by Wu.

Lamburt discloses: "The Data Query Cache 850, in this embodiment, generally includes a "hot" and "cold" cache," (lines 36-37 of column 27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in that art at the time of the applicant's invention to have a plurality of caches including at least one hot cache. "In this embodiment, the caching technique implemented is the LRU (Least Recently Used) policy by which elements of the cache are selected for replacement in accordance with time from last use. These and other policies are generally known to those skilled in the art. Generally, the "hot" cache may include the most recently used items and the cold cache the remaining items," (lines 37-43 of column 27 in Lamburt). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to have a plurality of caches including at least one hot cache in the system as taught by Wu.

Lamburt teaches the hot cache including the LRU (Least Recently Used) policy (, but does not explicitly teach higher frequency usage for the hot cache. However, Banerjia discloses: "By tracking the execution frequency of each translation, the code cache can obtain canonical information about which translations are executed the most frequently. The code cache can then user this information, along with a "hot threshold" to classify all translations into a plurality of different sets, based on their frequency of execution," (paragraph [0026] on page 2). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have the hot cache based at least in part on the request for static content with a higher frequency greater

than a lower frequency associated with a lower level cache. "However, it should be clear to one skilled in the art that two or more different thresholds could be provided in order to create three or more separate partitions in the code cache, with each partition storing translations in a different non-overlapping range of execution frequencies," (paragraph [0026] on page 2 of Banerjia). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to have the hot cache based at least in part on the request for static content with a higher frequency greater than a lower frequency associated with a lower level cache in the system as taught by Wu.

Palanca discloses: For senior load retirement from the L1CC 250, the L1CC 250 asserts the write-back data valid signal upon L1 cache hit or upon L1 buffer allocation (if there is a L1 cache miss), and not upon the return of the requested data. On the other hand, for a senior load L1 cache miss, the L1CC masks (i.e., clears) the write-back data valid signal upon the return of the requested data," (lines 1-7 of column 10) wherein the write-back data valid signal denotes that the request is coming from a cache that it had previously forwarded a request (on a cache miss). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have a determination of a request for content coming from a cache in the plurality of caches to which the forwarder previously forwarded a request for the content. "The write-back masking also avoids contention on the writeback bus with another instruction. This is implemented by masking (i.e., clearing) the write-back data valid signal to the re-order buffer and register file 220. The L1 cache controller 250 retires all non-senior loads by asserting

the write-back data valid signal when the requested data is available," (lines 7-13 of column 10 in Palanca). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to have a determination of a request for content coming from a cache in the plurality of caches to which the forwarder previously forwarded a request for the content in the system as taught by Wu.

b. As per claims 14-15, Wu teaches: the forwarder is coupled to the content server over a wide area network/local area network; and the forwarder is coupled to the content server over a communications medium (line 47 of column 2 through line 14 of column 3 and Fig. 1).

c. As per claim 16, Wu teaches: the information includes at least one of where the request is generated, the frequency of requests for the content, and the nature of the content requested (lines 16-28 of column 7).

d. As per claim 17, Wu teaches: the forwarder is structured to forward requests to the content server when the information indicated that the request is generated by the regular cache (lines 20-28 of column 6).

e. As per claim 19, Wu teaches: forwarding requests when not found in primary cache (lines 20-28 of column 6).

Wu does not explicitly teach: the forwarder is further structured to forward requests to the regular cache when the information indicates that the request is generated by the hot cache. However, Lamburt discloses: "It should generally be noted that in this particular embodiment, the "hot" cache is implemented as storing the data in

random access memory," (lines 48-50 of column 27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to utilize the "hot" cache as the primary cache in the system of Wu. "This may be distinguished from the storage medium associated with the "cold" cache representing those items which are determined, in accordance with caching policies such as the LRU, to be least likely to be accessed when compared with the items in the hot cache which are determined to be more likely to be accessed," (lines 50-56 of column 27 in Lamburt). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to utilize the "hot" cache of Lamburt as the primary cache in Wu, and to forward the request to the regular cache when the information indicates that the request is generated by the hot cache.

f. As per claim 21, Wu teaches: the server uses a hash table to calculate the number of requests for the content (lines 44-61 of column 1 and line 48 of column 4 through line 8 of column 5).

7. Claims 1, 24, and 27-28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trout (U.S. 5,566,349) in view of Lamburt, Scharber, Banerjia, and Jordan et al. (U.S. 2002/0026560 A1) hereinafter referred to as Jordan.

As per claims 1, 24, and 27-28, Trout teaches: receiving a request for content from a client (lines 35-37 of column 4 and lines 19-28 of column 42) and determining at least one type of the requested content based on information included within the request (lines 39-42 of column 11, lines 61-64 of column 27, and lines 31-34 of column

28); when the type of the requested content is dynamic, forwarding the request to a content server that enables access to the dynamic content (lines 10-11 of column 12); and when the type of the requested content is static, forwarding the request to a cache that enables access to the static content (lines 11-12 of column 12).

Trout does not explicitly teach: a plurality of caches including at least one hot cache that is based at least in part on a higher frequency of request over a period of time; determining at least one type of the requested content based on a determination of the request; and wherein the plurality of caches is organized in a hierarchy and wherein a higher level cache in the hierarchy is associated with a higher frequency of requests for static content than a lower frequency of requests for static content associated with a lower level cache, and wherein forwarding the request over the network to the plurality of caches that enable access to the static content further comprises recursively forwarding requests, generated from different caches in the hierarch based on the received request, through the hierarchy until a frequency of the request for static content exceeds a threshold associated with the hot cache.

Lamburt discloses: "The Data Query Cache 850, in this embodiment, generally includes a "hot" and "cold" cache," (lines 36-37 of column 27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in that art at the time of the applicant's invention to have a plurality of caches including at least one hot cache. "In this embodiment, the caching technique implemented is the LRU (Least Recently Used) policy by which elements of the cache are selected for replacement in accordance with time from last use. These and other policies are generally known to those skilled in the art. Generally, the "hot"

cache may include the most recently used items and the cold cache the remaining items," (lines 37-43 of column 27 in Lamburt). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to have a plurality of caches including at least one hot cache in the system as taught by Trout.

Scharber discloses: "By being able to recognize the content type associated with these different requests (e.g., based on the transport protocol or otherwise), ICDS 50 is able to determine which caching protocol is appropriate," (lines 40-43 of column 7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to determine at least one type of the requested content based on a determination of the request. "That is, ICDS 50 is able to make a content deterministic evaluation of the appropriate cache protocol to be used," (lines 43-45 of column 7 in Scharber). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to determine at least one type of the requested content based on a determination of the request in the system as taught by Trout and Lamburt.

Lamburt teaches the hot cache including the LRU (Least Recently Used) policy, but does not explicitly teach higher frequency usage for the hot cache. However, Banerjia discloses: "By tracking the execution frequency of each translation, the code cache can obtain canonical information about which translations are executed the most frequently. The code cache can then use this information, along with a "hot threshold" to classify all translations into a plurality of different sets, based on their frequency of execution," (paragraph [0026] on page 2). It would have been obvious for one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have the hot cache based at least in part on the request for static content with a higher frequency greater than a lower frequency associated with a lower level cache. "However, it should be clear to one skilled in the art that two or more different thresholds could be provided in order to create three or more separate partitions in the code cache, with each partition storing translations in a different non-overlapping range of execution frequencies," (paragraph [0026] on page 2 of Banerjia). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to have the hot cache based at least in part on the request for static content with a higher frequency greater than a lower frequency associated with a lower level cache in the system as taught by Wu.

Jordan discloses: "The present invention also includes features for periodically monitoring the load condition on and the forwarding frequency to the owning cache server; and proactively shifting one or more subsequent forwarded requests for the cached object from the owning cache server to one or more of the cooperating cache servers, in response to the monitoring. Alternatively, the shifting step further includes the step of checking the load condition and forwarding frequency, in response to the receipt of a forwarded request," (paragraph [0013] on page 2) wherein the proactive shifting of one or more subsequent forwarded requests teaches the recursive nature of the system. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have forwarding the request over the network to the plurality of caches that enable access to the static content further comprise recursively

forwarding requests, generated from different caches in the hierarch based on the received request, through the hierarchy until a frequency of the request for static content exceeds a threshold associated with the hot cache. "Depending on the load condition 10212 and forwarding frequency 1011 of requests for p 10101 on server B, the load monitor may forward the request to server B, asking it to send a copy of object p to server C. Or, if server B is currently overloaded or is trending as such, the load monitor might shift the forwarded request by finding an underloaded (or less loaded) server to serve as a new (or shared as in B, A 10121) owner of object p. The request is then forwarded to the new (or shared e.g., A) owning server for the object," (paragraph [0032] on page 4 of Jordan). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to have forwarding the request over the network to the plurality of caches that enable access to the static content further comprise recursively forwarding requests, generated from different caches in the hierarch based on the received request, through the hierarchy until a frequency of the request for static content exceeds a threshold associated with the hot cache in the system as taught by Trout.

8. Claim 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trout, Lamburt, Scharber, Banerjia, and Jordan further in view of Factor et al. (U.S. 6,094,706 B1) hereinafter referred to as Factor.

Trout does not explicitly teach: the hot cache caches static content when a frequency of requests for the static context exceeds a threshold. However, Factor

discloses: "Once a particular component has been accessed more than a threshold number of times, new pathnames that contain this component may be added to the °cache," (lines 52-54 of column 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in that art to have the hot cache cache static content when a frequency of requests for the static context exceeds a threshold. "This component may be added to the cache under the assumption that the new pathnames will also be accessed frequently," (lines 54-56 of column 11 in Factor). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in that art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to have the hot cache cache static content when a frequency of requests for the static context exceeds a threshold in the system as taught by Trout, Lamburt, and Scharber.

9. Claim 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trout, Lamburt, Scharber, Banerjia, and Jordan, further in view of Guenthner et al. (U.S. 5,590,301) hereinafter referred to as Guenthner.

Trout does not explicitly teach: when the static content is unavailable in the hot cache, forwarding the request to another cache in the plurality of caches. However, Guenthner discloses: "an internal address, including a cluster number, is sent to the address translator 18 as a request from the primary cache directed to the secondary cache 7 (which, of course, will forward the request to main memory if the requested information is not resident in the secondary cache at the time of the request)," (lines 21-26 of column 7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to forward the request to another cache when the static

content is unavailable in the hot cache. "Register 15 is merely a convenient representation of address interface circuitry in the primary cache of the CPU 11 by which an address generated by the CPU 11 may be transmitted, transformed in the address translator 18, as a request to the secondary cache 7. This condition occurs when information required by the CPU 11 is not resident in at least one of the primary caches of the CPUs 11, 12, 13, 14 on the multiprocessor board 1. (Those skilled in the art will understand that, in many such multiprocessor configurations, it is possible for one CPU to "siphon" information from another CPU's primary cache)," (lines 18-28 of column 4 in Guenther). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in that art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to forward the request to another cache when the static content is unavailable in the hot cache in the system as taught by Trout, Lamburt, and Scharber.

10. Claim 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trout, Lamburt, Scharber, Banerjia, and Jordan, further in view of McCanne (U.S. 6,785,704 B1).

Trout does not explicitly teach: when the static content is unavailable from any one of the plurality of caches, forwarding the request to the content server that enables access to the static content. However, McCanne discloses: "the cache serves the request, if it can, or forwards the request to the content server and then serves the client the content returned from the content server." (lines 63-65 of column 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to

forward the request to the content server that enables access to the static content when the static content is unavailable from any one of the plurality of caches. "Caching can be either transparent or nontransparent. With transparent caching, the client makes a request of the content server and the network infrastructure intercepts the request if the cache can serve the request. With nontransparent caching, the client makes the request of the cache (or more precisely, of a network node to which the cache is attached) and the cache serves the request, if it can, or forwards the request to the content server and then serves the client the content returned from the content server," (lines 57-65 of column 3 in McCanne). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to forward the request to the content server that enables access to the static content when the static content is unavailable from any one of the plurality of caches in the system as taught by Trout, Lamburt, and Scharber.

11. Claim 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trout, Lamburt, Scharber, Banerjia, and Jordan, further in view of Kimura et al. (U.S. 6,415,359 B1) hereinafter referred to as Kimura.

Trout does not explicitly teach: examining the request for an extension indicating that a process is performed in response to the request, wherein the process includes at least one of an application program and a script. However, Kimura discloses: "in the case of creating a new file in the portable information processing terminal device 10 in response to a request from another information processing device, the file management

unit 102 first checks the attribute information (an extension and a file name, or other ID information indicating a file type, etc.) of that file which is attached to the creation request (step \$71), and judges whether it is a file that should be stored into the cache 17 or not (step \$72). An application program file that is executable on the portable information processing terminal device 10 or a file that can be processed by that application has a high probability of being accessed in the disk access prohibited state during the battery driven mode so that such a file will be judged as a file that should be stored into the cache 17," (lines 49-62 of column 13). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in that art at the time of the applicant's invention to examine the request for an extension indicating that a process is performed in response to the request, wherein the process includes at least one of an application program and a script. "An application program file that is executable on the portable information processing terminal device 10 or a file that can be processed by that application has a high probability of being accessed in the disk access prohibited state during the battery driven mode so that such a file will be judged as a file that should be stored into the cache 17. In the case where the judgment cannot be made, it is also possible to inquire the user as to whether it is a file that should be stored into the cache 17 or not," (lines 57-65 of column 13 in Kimura). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to examine the request for an extension indicating that a process is performed in response to the request, wherein the process includes at least one of an application program and a script in the system as taught by Trout, Lamburt, and Scharber.

12. Claims 6-7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trout, Lamburt, Scharber, Banerjia, and Jordan, further in view of Dujari (U.S. 6,233,606 B1).

Trout does not explicitly teach: the content includes information associated with a plurality of resource identifiers; and the resource identifiers are uniform resource locators (URLs). However, Dujari discloses: "the content can be indexed by a unique lookup key, such as a Uniform Resource Identifier (URI), a compact string of characters for identifying an abstract or physical resource. Examples of URIs include URLs (Uniform Resource Locators), URNs (Uniform Resource Names), and other standard namespaces," (lines 28-33 of column 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have the content include information associated with a plurality of resource identifiers; and have the resource identifiers as uniform resource locators (URLs). "A URI may be used as the lookup key to a cache, as can other names, such as a globally unique identifier (GUID)," (lines 33-35 of column 1 in Dujari). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to have the content include information associated with a plurality of resource identifiers; and have the resource identifiers as uniform resource locators (URLs) in the system as taught by Trout, Lamburt, and Scharber.

13. Claim 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu, Scharber and Lamburt as applied to claim 10 above, in view of Cohen et al. (U.S. 6,330,561 B1) hereinafter referred to as Cohen.

Wu teaches: another request is forwarded to the content server when the content is unavailable from the other cache (lines 4-28 of column 6).

Wu does not explicitly teach: the content server forwards the other request for content to an additional cache. However, Cohen discloses: "Then the proxy server would forward a request for validation with respect to the client requested resource and a request for validation with regard to one or more additional resources in the proxy cache that were from the same resource server," (lines 30-34 of column 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have the content server forward the other request for content to an additional cache. "This approach is a benefit to the proxy cache in the sense that it helps the proxy cache determine the validity of certain of its contents at an earlier time," (lines 38-40 of column 2 in Cohen). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to have the content server forward the other request for content to an additional cache in the system as taught by Wu and Scharber.

14. Claim 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu, Scharber, Lamburt, Banerjia, and Palanca as applied to claim 12 above, in view of Cohen and Sharma (U.S. 6,591,341 B1).

Wu teaches: a regular cache and forwarding requests if content is not found in cache.

Wu does not explicitly teach: a hot cache and an additional cache, wherein the hot cache, the regular cache, and the additional cache are arranged in a hierarchical order for receiving each forwarded request for content from the forwarder. However, Lamburt discloses: "It should generally be noted that in this particular embodiment, the "hot" cache is implemented as storing the data in random access memory," (lines 48-50 of column 27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have a hot cache. "This may be distinguished from the storage medium associated with the "cold" cache representing those items which are determined, in accordance with caching policies such as the LRU, to be least likely to be accessed when compared with the items in the hot cache which are determined to be more likely to be accessed," (lines 50-56 of column 27 in Lamburt). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to have a hot cache in the system as taught by Wu and Scharber.

Cohen discloses: "Then the proxy server would forward a request for validation with respect to the client requested resource and a request for validation with regard to one or more additional resources in the proxy cache that were from the same resource server," (lines 30-34 of column 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have an additional cache. "This approach is a benefit to the proxy cache in the sense that it helps the proxy cache determine the validity of certain of its contents at an earlier time," (lines 38-40 of column

2 in Cohen). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to have an additional cache in the system as taught by Wu, Scharber, and Lamburt.

Sharma discloses: "If the request was a cache miss in the second data array, the request may be forwarded to another level of memory hierarchy, such as another cache or a system memory (lines 32-35 of column 5 and Fig. 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to arrange the cache in a hierarchical order for receiving each forwarded request for content from the forwarder. "In either case, when it was determined that there was a cache miss in the first data array, the one or more instructions that were tentatively processed may be replayed," (lines 35-37 of column 5 in Sharma). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to arrange the cache in a hierarchical order for receiving each forwarded request for content from the forwarder in the system as taught by Wu, Scharber, Lamburt, and Cohen.

15. Claim 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu, Scharber, Lamburt, Banerjia, and Palanca as applied to claim 16 above, in view of Factor.

Wu does not explicitly teach: the forwarder is further structured to forward requests to the hot cache when the information indicates that the rate of requests exceeds a threshold. However, Lamburt discloses: "It should generally be noted that in

this particular embodiment, the "hot" cache is implemented as storing the data in random access memory," (lines 48-50 of column 27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to forward requests to a hot cache. "This may be distinguished from the storage medium associated with the "cold" cache representing those items which are determined, in accordance with caching policies such as the LRU, to be least likely to be accessed when compared with the items in the hot cache which are determined to be more likely to be accessed," (lines 50-56 of column 27 in Lamburt). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to forward requests to a hot cache in the system as taught by Wu and Scharber. Factor discloses: "Once a particular component has been accessed more than a threshold number of times, new pathnames that contain this component may be added to the cache," (lines 52-54 of column 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in that art to forward requests to a hot cache when the rate of requests exceeds a threshold. "This component may be added to the cache under the assumption that the new pathnames will also be accessed frequently," (lines 54-56 of column 11 in Factor). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in that art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to forward requests to a hot cache when the rate of requests exceeds a threshold in the system as taught by Wu, Scharber, and Lamburt.

16. Claim 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu, Scharber, Lamburt, Banerjia, and Palanca as applied to claim 12 above, in view of Sharma.

Wu does not explicitly teach: the hot cache and the regular cache are located on the same device. However, Lamburt discloses: "The Data Query Cache 850, in this embodiment, generally includes a "hot" and "cold" cache," (lines 36-37 of column 27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to utilize the "hot" cache as the primary cache in the system of Wu. "This may be distinguished from the storage medium associated with the "cold" cache representing those items which are determined, in accordance with caching policies such as the LRU, to be least likely to be accessed when compared with the items in the hot cache which are determined to be more likely to be accessed," (lines 50-56 of column 27 in Lamburt). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to utilize the "hot" cache of Lamburt as the primary cache in Wu and Scharber.

Sharma discloses: "Many computer, systems use multiple levels of caches to cache data from a memory device. For example, a computer system may have a level one cache (L1) and a larger level two cache (L2), in addition to an even larger RAM memory," (lines 14-17 of column 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have multiple caches on the same device. "The L1 cache typically contains a copy of information that was previously loaded from RAM by the processor, and the L2 cache typically contains both a copy of

information in the L1 cache and other information that had been loaded from RAM by the processor less recently than the information in the L1 cache," (lines 18-24 of column 1 in Sharma). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to have multiple caches on the same device in the system as taught by Wu, Scharber, and Lamburt.

17. Claims 22-23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu, Scharber, Lamburt, Banerjia, and Palanca as applied to claim 12 above, in view of Dujari.

Wu does not explicitly teach: the content includes information associated with a plurality of resource identifiers; and the resource identifiers are uniform resource locators (URLs). However, Dujari discloses: "the content can be indexed by a unique lookup key, such as a Uniform Resource Identifier (URI), a compact string of characters for identifying an abstract or physical resource. Examples of URIs include URLs (Uniform Resource Locators), URNs (Uniform Resource Names), and other standard namespaces," (lines 28-33 of column 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have the content include information associated with a plurality of resource identifiers; and have the resource identifiers as uniform resource locators (URLs). "A URI may be used as the lookup key to a cache, as can other names, such as a globally unique identifier (GUID)," (lines 33-35 of column 1 in Dujari). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to have the content include

information associated with a plurality of resource identifiers; and have the resource identifiers as uniform resource locators (URLs) in the system as taught by Wu and Scharber.

Response to Arguments

18. Applicant's arguments filed 11 April 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

19. (A) Regarding claim 8, the applicant contends that Wu does not teach: determining a frequency of requests summed from request for all content of a plurality of different static content in a content set. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

As to point (A), the applicant argues that Wu does not teach one "reference count" referring to a plurality of objects. The examiner points out that the applicant's amendment which includes: "determining a frequency of requests summed from requests for all content of a plurality of different static content in a content set" does not distinguish over the cited art because the frequency is attained by merely counting the number of requests for a particular group of data. While Wu teaches counting the number of requests for a particular object (lines 52-54 of column 6), it is understood that any number of different groupings may be used when determining how many requests are made. This is a design choice that does not affect the way the system works, but merely changes the results, which are inconsequential to the system by nature. As such, the rejection remains proper and is maintained by the examiner.

20. (B) Regarding claim 10, the applicant contends that Wu does not teach: "a third request" in the limitation "when the content is unavailable from the second cache, a third request for the content is forwarded over the network to a content server." The examiner respectfully disagrees.

As to point (B), the applicant argues that Wu teaches a single request that is initially received and then re-directed or returned. The examiner points out that Wu first teaches a second request: (lines 14-17 of column 6) "Once it decides to redirect the request, block 703, the web cache server 4 sends the request back to the browser 9 along with an IP address corresponding to the suggested sibling web cache server 4." It is clear from this recitation that the browser will send a second request for the contents, this time to the sibling web cache server. It is well understood in the art that this type of forwarding may be done across multiple servers with multiple re-directions. Wu discloses: (lines 43-46 of column 6), "Upon receiving a request for a non-assigned-partition object 103, the front-end router 803 decides whether to forward it to the partition owner cluster 801 or to service it within its own cluster 801." It is clear that the partition owner cluster, which receives the second request, may at this point undergo the process mentioned above, with reference to lines 14-17 of column 6, and redirect the request to a sibling web cache server. One of ordinary skill in that art would also recognize that these steps may be repeated for any number of redirections and may be recursive in nature. As such, the rejection remains proper and is maintained by the examiner.

21. (C) The applicant's arguments pertaining to claims 1, 12, 14-17, 19, 21, 24, 25, 27, and 28 are directed towards newly claimed subject matter and have been rejected above. See rejections of claims 12 & 25, and 1, 24, 27 & 28 specifically.

22. (D) The applicant's remaining arguments are directed towards claims which are dependent upon claims the applicant has argued contain allowable subject matter. The applicant does not argue the limitations of these dependent claims and only states that they are allowable because the claims which they are dependent upon contain allowable subject matter.

Conclusion

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Meucci at (571) 272-3892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell, can be reached at (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for this Group is 571-273-8300.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [michael.meucci@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Andrew Caldwell/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2142